ORIGINAL



1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9 \

1011

12

13 14

1516

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

COMMISSIONERS

BOB STUMP - Chairman

CARNADISCO PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF TH

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATIO

AZ CORP COMMUSSION BOCKET CONTROL

RECEIVED

J. ALAN SMITH,

GARY PIERCE

BOB BURNS

BRENDA BURNS

SUSAN BITTER SMITH

COMPLAINANT,

VS.

PAYSON WATER CO., INC. /BROOKE UTILITIES, INC.

RESPONDENT.

DOCKET NO. W-03514A-12-0007

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED SEP 2 3 2013



BY THE COMMISSION:

On January 10, 2012, J. Alan Smith ("Complainant") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") a Formal Complaint against Payson Water Co., Inc. ("Payson Water" or "Company") and Brooke Utilities, Inc.

On February 2, 2012, Payson Water filed an Answer to the Complaint and Motion to Dismiss.

On February 16, 2012, the Complainant filed a Reply to Respondent's Answer.

On February 23, 2012, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling a procedural conference for March 9, 2012.

On March 9, 2012, the procedural conference was held as scheduled.

On March 29, 2012, the Company filed a Motion to Dismiss.

On March 30, 2012, Payson Water filed a Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a Party to the Complaint.

On April 3, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a Party to the Complaint and Motion to Deny.

On April 3, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Deny.

On April 9, 2012, Payson Water filed a Reply to Complainant's Response to Payson Water Co.'s Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Deny.

On April 9, 2012, the Company also filed a Reply by Payson Water Co. to Complainant's Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a Party to the Complaint.

On April 13, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a Party to the Complaint and Motion to Deny.

On April 13, 2012, the Complainant also filed an Objection to Respondent's Reply to Complainant's Response to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Stay.

On April 20, 2012, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Notice of Filing regarding the status of a subpoena issued to Martin's Trucking.

On May 3, 2012, Staff filed a Status of Mediation indicating that a settlement was not reached by the parties and requesting that a hearing be scheduled.

On June 18, 2012, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling a hearing for August 7, 2012, and setting deadlines for the filing of pre-filed testimony.

On July 16, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Complainant's Initial Discovery and Disclosure.

On July 17, 2012, the Complainant filed his direct testimony.

On July 23, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Complainant's Second Discovery and Disclosure.

On July 30, 2012, Payson Water filed rejoinder testimony.

On July 30, 2012, Staff filed a Memorandum stating its position regarding the complaint.

On August 1, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Complainant's Third Discovery and Disclosure.

On August 1, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Service of Process Subpoenas on Jim Pearson and Pearson Water Company.

On August 1, 2012, the Complainant filed a Motion to Compel Jim Pearson and Pearson Water Co. to Comply with Subpoenas.

On August 1, 2012, the Complainant filed a Motion to Compel Respondents to Comply with Complainant's 1st Set of Data Requests.

On August 1, 2012, Payson Water filed a Supplemental Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities Inc. as a Party to the Complaint.

On August 2, 2012, Payson Water filed a Notice of Initial Disclosure.

On August 6, 2012, Payson Water filed a Supplemental Motion to Dismiss.

On August 7, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Complainant's Fourth Discovery and Disclosure.

On August 7, 2012, the hearing in this matter was convened, and at which time the Complainant requested a continuance of the hearing for 90 days. The Complainant indicated that he had consulted with an attorney who had agreed to represent him in this complaint case, but the attorney needed an additional 60 to 90 days to review the case file. On that basis, the hearing was continued for 90 days, on the condition that the Complainant's attorney discuss with the other parties an alternative hearing schedule and submit such schedule well before the 90 days were exhausted.

On August 7, 2012, Dennis B. Tresca filed an Application for Intervention.

On August 13, 2012, Payson Water filed a Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the Complaint.

On August 13, 2012, Payson Water filed an Objection to Complainant's Fourth Discovery and Disclosure.

On August 13, 2012, Payson Water filed an Objection to Application of Dennis B. Tresca for Intervention.

On August 20, 2012, Payson Water filed a Notice of Timely Compliance with Subpoena.

On August 20, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response to Respondent's Objection to Tresca Application for Intervention and Motion to Deny.

On August 20, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response to Respondent's Objection to Complainant's Fourth Discovery and Disclosure and Motion to Deny.

On August 20, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the Complaint and Motion to Deny.

On August 23, 2012, Payson Water filed a Reply to Complainant's Response to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the Complaint.

On September 4, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response to Respondent's Reply to Complainant's Challenge to Motion to Dismiss a Portion of the Complaint.

On September 6, 2012, Payson Water filed a Motion to Quash Subpoena.

On September 12, 2012, the Complainant filed a Notice of Service of Process Subpoenas on Robert T. Hardcastle, Brooke Utilities, Inc., and Payson Water Co.

On September 13, 2012, the Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion to Quash Subpoena.

On September 13, 2012, Mary E. Hansen filed an Application for Intervention.

On September 17, 2012, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling a procedural conference for September 28, 2012, and directing counsel for the Complainant to file a Notice of Appearance prior to the procedural conference.

On September 24, 2012, the Complainant filed a Motion to Initiate an Action in the Superior Court to Compel Jim Pearson, Pearson Transport, Robert T. Hardcastle, Brooke Utilities, Inc., and

Payson Water Co. to Comply with the Subpoenas Served Upon Them.

On September 28, 2012, the procedural conference was held, as scheduled, during which discussions occurred regarding, among other things, the appropriate process for enforcing a subpoena previously served on Jim Pearson to appear at the August 7, 2012 hearing that was subsequently vacated to allow the Complainant additional time to obtain counsel. The Complainant was represented at the September 28, 2012 procedural conference by Michael J. Harper, of the firm Walker & Harper, P.C.

On October 3, 2012, Mr. Harper filed a formal Notice of Appearance on behalf of the Complainant.

On January 2, 2013, Payson Water filed a Motion to Dismiss on the basis that the Complainant had failed to pursue the Complaint after retaining counsel.

On January 10, 2013, the Complainant filed a Response to Motion to Dismiss claiming that any delays were due to the failure of Mr. Pearson to comply with a previously issued Subpoena.

On January 10, 2013, the Complainant also filed a Notice of Submission of Demand for Compliance with Subpoenas and Request for Issuance of Procedural Order Directing Compliance Proceedings in the Superior Court.

On February 27, 2013, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling a procedural conference for March 14, 2013 to discuss issues related to Commission enforcement of subpoenas.

On March 14, 2013, the procedural conference was held as scheduled.

On March 18, 2013, the Complainant filed a Motion to Compel Responses to Data Requests and Subpoena Duces Tecum.

On March 20, 2013, a Procedural Order was issued Compelling Compliance with Subpoena Duces Tecum to Jim Pearson and/or Pearson Water.

On March 26, 2013, Payson Water filed a Reply to Complainant's Motion to Compel

Responses to Data Requests and Subpoena Duces Tecum. The Company claims that it has provided all relevant documents in either this docket or the associated Gehring complaint docket (12-0008), and there is ample information available for the Complainant to address the issues raised.

On April 12, 2013, Staff filed a Status Update indicating that Staff counsel had contacted Mr. Pearson and Mr. Pearson indicated "he had supplied all the documents in the related Docket No. 12-0008." According to Staff, Mr. Pearson also stated that he had misplaced some of the documents and was trying to locate them, and Mr. Pearson provided Staff with a document he claims to have faxed to Mr. Smith.

On June 10, 2013, the Complainant filed a Renewed Motion to Compel Documents and Information Requested by Subpoena and Data Requests/Motion for Order Requiring Jim Pearson to Fully Respond to Subpoena Duces Tecum/Request for Hearing on Motions.

On June 26, 2013, a Procedural Order was issued scheduling a procedural conference for July 10, 2013, and ordering Mr. Pearson to provide copies of documents requested by Complainant's counsel.

On July 10, 2013, the procedural conference was held, as scheduled. At the procedural conference, counsel for the new owner of the Company entered an appearance and various procedural issues were discussed. At the conclusion of conference, the parties were directed to attempt the pending discovery disputes and counsel for Payson Water was given two additional weeks to file a response to the Complainant's Motion to Compel.

Also on July 10, 2013, Mr. Hardcastle filed a Motion to Dismiss Brooke Utilities, Inc., as a Party to This Docket.

On July 12, 2013, counsel for Payson Water filed a formal Notice of Appearance on behalf of the Company.

On July 23, 2013, Payson Water filed a Status Report – Discovery, stating that the Company's

vacation.

Brooke Utilities, Inc., Motion to Dismiss.

On July 24, 2013, the Complainant's counsel filed a Request for Extension Re: Response to

counsel had been unable to discuss the discovery disputes with the Complainant's counsel due to

On July 25, 2013, the Complainant filed a Notice of Errata stating that the extension request should have asked for 10 additional days rather than 60.

On August 5, 2013, the Complainant filed a Response to Motion to Dismiss Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a Party to This Docket.

On August 21, 2013, Payson Water filed a Response to Complainant's Motion to Compel.

On September 9, 2013, the Complainant filed a Reply in Support of Motion to Compel Documents and Information Requested by Subpoena and Data Requests and Request for Sanctions.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a procedural conference shall be scheduled for October 7, 2013, at 10:00 a.m., at the offices of the Commission, Hearing Room No. 1, 1200 West Washington, Phoenix, Arizona 85007, to discuss pending motions and procedural matters.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Payson Water shall be prepared to provide copies of all documents requested by the Complainant responsive to prior data requests, including documents that may be in the possession of the Company's prior owner, Brooke Utilities, Inc. Payson Water shall also make all reasonable efforts to acquire the documents requested by the Complainant that are alleged to be in the possession and control of Mr. Pearson.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties shall undertake settlement discussions regarding the pending discovery disputes and possible settlement of the overall Complaint, and shall be prepared to discuss at the procedural conference what efforts were made regarding attempts at settlement (without disclosing details of any offers of settlement made during those discussions). Staff shall make every reasonable effort to facilitate settlement of the disputed

issues between the parties.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Jim Pearson

Pearson Transport/Pearson Water

P.O. Box 193 24 1120 Rodeo Rd.

Williams, AZ 86046 25

(SERVICE BY **CERTIFIED** AND

Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered This 33rd day of September, 2013 to:

REGULAR U.S. MAIL) 26

J. Alan Smith 27 8166 Barranca Road Payson, AZ 85541 28

8

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties shall discuss with each other, prior to the procedural conference, potential hearing dates and shall be prepared to offer proposed hearing dates in the event agreement is not reached.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff counsel shall be prepared to discuss, with specificity, what actions it intends to take to enforce the prior subpoenas issued to Mr. Pearson related to hauling logs and associated documents.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113 - Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this 23¹² day of September, 2013.

lidee

ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Michael J. Harper WALKER & HARPER, P.C. 111 W. Cedar Ln., Suite C Payson, AZ 85541

Robert T. Hardcastle BROOKE UTILITIES, INC. P.O. Box 82218 Bakersfield, CA 93380

1	FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
2	2394 East Camelback Rd., Suite 600 Phoenix, AZ 85016
3	Attorneys for Payson Water Company
4	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel Legal Division
5	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
6	Phoenix, AZ 85007
7	Steve Olea, Director Utilities Division
8	ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington Street
9	Phoenix, AZ 85007
10	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2200 N. Central Ave., Suite 502
11	Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481
12	By: Rebecca Ungliera
13	Assistant to Dwight D. Nodes
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	